

The Divine Pattern

By Adrian Ebens, October 2013

www.maranathamedia.com

We're getting to that period in the week where people are starting to have to go. My dear friends Mark, Rick, and Mary have to leave after this session, and so the sadness starts to come. I'd like to ask Mark and Rick if you would come and pray for me, for this session.

I have put a title on this session. The title in the program is the original one I had submitted. I changed it late in the game to *The Divine Pattern*, and so I'm going to be talking about the divine pattern, but I said to our Father in heaven, "I'm going to open slate this session" and pray that God would share through me what needs to be shared. That's why I'm asking my two brothers to pray for me, that He will inspire us as to what to say. Let's kneel together.

[Rick and Mark pray for Pastor Adrian.]

When my brother Craig and I had flown the long haul from Sydney to Los Angeles, after 13 hours and being awake *most* of that time (I think I slept about 15 minutes, but I'm not sure), we got out at the other end. We were not feeling so awake. You particularly notice this when you're not so alert: you are often operating on principles that are deeply laid within your thinking – patterns. We rely on patterns. When we got to the airport, there was an entranceway leading into the restroom. Which way do you go into the restroom when there are two? Do you go to the right? [Laughter.] Both Craig and I, when we came up, we went to the *left*, and there were people coming out the left side. We were reacting to a pattern. I've been driving a car for over 30 years on the left hand side of the road, and there's a pattern in my head, so I just acted on the pattern, going to the left.

The first time I came to the United States (I think I was in Washington, DC), I was walking down the sidewalk (we call it 'footpath' in Australia), and a U.S. citizen, when they encounter an obstacle, they're going to move to the right, but when I encounter an obstacle, I'm going to move to the left, so, many times I went *bang*, straight into them! "Oh, I'm sorry..." [Laughter.] (These Australians don't even know how to walk on a sidewalk!) There's a pattern that we're operating on; we're not even aware of it.

I remember when I was here in Amicalola in 2011, and I'm rabbiting on about this 'jewel' authority and Robyn's saying, "A jewel... An authority..." She was trying really hard to understand. I meant *dual* authority, but where I come from, it's pronounced 'jewel' authority. "O, give thanks unto the Lord, for His mercy 'enjureth' forever..." I've been trying to sing it 'endureth', but it feels strange. It's not the pattern that I'm used to.

My wife and I often laugh together about when we were first setting up house to make sure that where we first put the plates, that's going to be the place where we put the plates; and where we

put the rubbish container, that's going to be the place because where we put the rubbish. The mind starts setting up a pattern, and if you change the location of something, even up to two years later, I'm just going to get a plate and they are no longer there. "Oh, hang on! Where did we move the plates to? Oh, they're over here!" The mind likes to lay down patterns. (Patterns – you've got me nervous on that now. Part of the Australian rebellion against the British was to mangle the Queen's English, to absolutely flatten it. It's part of the rebellion.)

So you notice these things. As soon as you can get something stored into a pattern principle, you don't have to think about it anymore. You just *do* it. And this is an incredible principle about patterns and what is actually laid down in our minds.

Another thought is that some of the most profound things can be expressed in the simplest manner, and at first you may not fully understand all of the ramifications of that simple principle, but as it begins to expand, it becomes more and more powerful. An example of that would be this: [Pastor Adrian writes $e = mc^2$ on the whiteboard]. That's a profound principle: $e = mc^2$. It has many ramifications and yet it's such a *simple* formula.

Albert Einstein tried to find the formula that would represent the theory of everything. We have the formula that is not only a theory, but the *fact* of everything, and it's in 1 Corinthians, chapter 8, and verse 6. This is the *fact* of everything; we don't even need to guess:

"But to us *there is but* one God, the Father, of whom *are* all things, and we in him; and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom *are* all things, and we by him" 1 Corinthians 8:6.

[Pastor Adrian writes 'of whom' on the whiteboard] – the Source. [He draws an arrow pointing down from 'of whom' and then writes 'by whom'] – the Channel. As I've continue to study, I've realized that this pattern of one Source and Channel is the formula for life. This is the fact of the universe. This reality is stamped into *all* creation. And Jesus tells us this in John 17:3, doesn't He, what eternal life is? The *fact* of life, not the theory of life, the *fact* of life:

"And this is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God [the only Source, the great Source of all], and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent [the Channel]" John 17:3.

One God, one Lord.

I would like to lay down another layer – Colossians 1:15, speaking of Christ:

"Who is the image of the invisible God..." Colossians 1:15.

[Pastor Adrian writes the word 'image' on the whiteboard beside 'Channel = by whom'.] The *visible* image of the invisible God. [Adrian writes the word 'invisible' on the whiteboard beside 'Source = of whom'.] "God, who alone hath immortality, dwelling in the life which no man can approach unto..."

(1 Timothy 6:16), for if you were to behold His image, you would cease to exist. But Jesus is the *visible* image of the invisible God. An *invisible* Source; *visible* Channel.

This principle can be repeated over and over again. I have a table in the first chapter of *Divine Pattern* that lays out some of these patterns. What's one of the most obvious that reflects this source and channel? Husband and wife. The woman has come out of the man. What's another one that we know about? Mother and children. The child comes out of the woman. And the children come out in the image of their parents. In the story of Moses and Aaron, we see a source and we see a channel. "You will be unto the people as Elohim (source), and Aaron will be your mouthpiece (channel)." Source and channel.

We move down to the level of the church. If an elder does not know how to take care of his family, how shall he take care of the church of God? Follow the same pattern of leadership – to be a visible source to the church, which is to be a channel of light to the world. We see it in the governmental structures (the way it *should* be), that the judicial system, the law, is a source and the citizenry is a channel for an expression of the right side of that law but also the punishment. In all these places, you begin to see that the way that God's government operates is through a source/channel relationship.

Idolatry is the process of destroying the divine pattern, which is the *key* to life eternal. Does that make sense? It's like, as it were, changing the pattern in your mind of driving on the right side of the road to driving on the left side of the road. And when you do that, terrible pain begins to ensue, doesn't it, when the pattern changes?

The next thing that I want to illustrate is that if we have God, our Father, here as a Source... [Pastor Adrian draws three circles in a vertical row on the whiteboard.] We have Father, Son, and then we have Lucifer. What did Lucifer say in Isaiah 14? "I will be like the Most High." [He erases the circle representing the Son so there is a direct line between Lucifer and the Father.] The principle of Satan's kingdom is to merge with the source. "I will ascend into heaven. I will be like the Most High. I will be like God"; he didn't say, "I will be like the *Son* of God" but "I will be like God". So here is the principle of a channel seeking to merge itself with its source. [Pastor Adrian writes the words 'visible/form' on the whiteboard beside the circle representing Lucifer and the word 'invisible' beside the circle for God.]

When the visible channel seeks to merge with the source and to *become* the source (but God alone has immortality – God alone is the Source of all things)... When you seek to merge yourself with the source, you turn out the life and are left with the form. This is what we see in the simplest expression. For example, in the process of Catholicism, we see the one sitting in the temple of God, claiming to *be* God, but when you merge the channel with the source, you are left with form. When you flatten the structure, you are left with form, a process of ritual because the life is no longer there. It is the Spirit that quickeneth; the flesh profits nothing in and of itself. It is a channel through which the life of God manifests.

I hope your mind is racing around considering the implications of some of these things, how that when you change the source and the channel (which is idolatry), you are immediately on a path to righteousness by works. The only protection against righteousness by works is a true knowledge of God and His Son. That's the only protection.

For instance, if the person presiding in a communion service is merging himself with the source, he is left with a form, which is an abomination to God. It's righteousness by works. *Any* custom or practice that we would engage in that ignores the divine pattern will leave you with a form and will leave you with a works-based religion. That's why the observance of the feasts without a divine pattern relationship leaves you with a form. But in recognizing the divine pattern, the appointed times become an opportunity for the great Source of all to *fill* the form with blessing. And none of His commandments are grievous (see 1 John 5:3).

Many of us are familiar with this example: you have an opening hymn; you have some introductory remarks and some announcements; you have a second hymn; then you have a sermon; then you have a closing hymn – it's a ritual. When that ritual denies the Source and the Channel, you're left with a form, which is works-based religion. Does that make sense?

The divine pattern lives within your mind, and this is what it means in Revelation 14 when it says they are sealed with the Father's name (see Revelation 14:1). Being sealed with the Father's name is recognition of His supreme authority, and that seal comes to us *through* the person of Jesus Christ. He's the one who makes that seal a reality. But that's a sealing of the divine pattern: that we would know that throughout the universe, this is life eternal that they might know Thee, the Source, and the Lord Jesus, the Channel.

It's a beautiful truth, isn't it? And people say, "How is this a salvational issue"? When these patterns embed themselves in our mind, we simply act out the pattern that we believe exists. The God that you worship is the God that you act out in your relationships.

Let's talk a little bit more about some of the ramifications of the spirit that says, "I will be like the Most High" in the male/female or husband/wife relationship. Feminism. What is feminism? "I will be like the Most High. I will be equal." God has granted equality to His Son based on the relationship, but feminism is equality saying, "You will acknowledge me. I have intellect. I have abilities, and you will recognize me on *those* terms." That's feminism.

What about this: the Word of God is the Source and His ministers are designed to be channels. This is the word of the prophets, Moses, and the ministers are to be like Aaron, to break the bread. The word of the husband and the father – the mother takes the word of her husband, and she breaks it down into edible pieces for her children to eat. This is the same principle. And so when we have a pastor and we have the Bible, and the pastor says, "We have a creed, and by this creed you will live, and by this creed you will die." What is he saying? "I will ascend into heaven. I will be the Most High." It's the same principle – usurping the authority of the source. Do we see that?

Another example: the Bible (the source) and the Spirit of Prophecy. Is that a divine pattern relationship? Where does the Spirit of Prophecy derive its authority from? The source, the *greater* light; the Spirit of prophecy, the *lesser* light. As the Son was made equal with the Father, the Spirit of Prophecy is equal to the Bible in authority, but it is a *delegated* authority. It is a *given* authority. When we say, "it's a lesser light," many people would say, "It's a lesser light. Therefore, I don't have to obey it." It carries the same authority, but it's a *delegated* authority. And is it interesting to you that it says that the Spirit of Prophecy is the testimony of whom? Jesus, the great Channel to the Source.

I would like to suggest to you that within the history of the Advent people, there have been many wars, but there have been three *great* wars. The first war was over the relationship of the Father and the Son. We understand within the 1888 message that the pioneers had to *grow* in their understanding of the relationship of the Son. For example, read *Life Incidents* by James White. He says it was the Father who spoke the Ten Commandments from Mt. Sinai. Then you read E J Waggoner and Ellen White, and they say that it was *Jesus* who spoke the Ten Commandments from Mt. Sinai, *with* His Father's authority. He's the Word.

Wayne was speaking about Abraham as an example of the Son. God says to Abraham, "I will bless you, and you will be a blessing." Is that what the Father said to His Son, "I will bless you, my Son, and you will be a blessing"? "And in you shall all the inhabitants of the universe be blessed." Why didn't God just say, "Make all the children... Assemble all the children. I bless you, all My children." Why is there this channel? This is one of the pivotal issues of the great controversy – access to the Father. Can you have *direct* access? "I am the way, the truth, the life. No man..." (In the KJV it's 'no man' but it's 'no *one*'.) "No one comes to the Father but by me." The only way to approach the Father is through the Son.

Some of you will be familiar with this illustration. What if the Father were to have created the universe directly Himself? By beholding, we become changed. Who does the Father submit to? [Audience responds: No one.] Who does the Father obey? [Audience responds: No one.] By beholding, you become changed. The water that proceeds from the Father needed to be made sweet, so He brings forth a Son in His own image and in the heart of this Son is the spirit of submission, the spirit of obedience, the spirit of One who desires *always* to do the Father's will. And it is in this in *this* spirit and this spirit *alone* that we can approach the Father. That's why Jesus is the Way. He is the Way. Headship and submission. Submission is life when we're connected to the Father. Submission is life.

The first war was over the Father and the Son – understanding the Father and Son relationship, seeing that there *is* equality, that all communication between heaven and earth is through the Son, the Son alone, the only being in the universe who could enter into all the councils of God; all the fullness of the Godhead dwelt in Him bodily. Equality – everything coming *through* the Son from the Father.

This was established, but then after that, particularly through the 1930's and 1940's and right up until the 1980's, what was the relationship between the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy? We see a *plethora* of compilations coming out. We see a whole generation of young Adventist people growing up *despising* that book called *Messages to Young People*. There was a proliferation of the Spirit of Prophecy... When the Spirit of Prophecy becomes the *source*, you are left with a form. Isn't that what happened to our church? "Eat this; don't eat this; wear this; don't wear this; do this..." but it had replaced the Source, and when you replace the source, there's no more life. "Sister White says, brother..." A whole generation heard this and it led to the ripening of the rebellion of the 1980's when a whole group of people were singing for joy for Walter Rael and his 'White Lie'.

And this is where I want to lead you to another principle. This is how Satan will operate: his object in elevating the channel is to destroy it:

"To dispute the supremacy of the Son of God, thus impeaching the wisdom and love of the Creator, had become the purpose of this prince of angels. To this object he was about to bend the energies of that master mind..." *Patriarchs and Prophets*, p. 36.

We see that with the Spirit of Prophecy within Adventism: Satan elevated the Spirit of Prophecy in order to destroy it. It's one of two things: you either elevate it to destroy it, or you elevate it to make it *one*. [Pastor Adrian circles 'Bible' and 'SOP' on the whiteboard.] Co-equal. Can you see this playing out?

Co-equal. The elevation of the Son. Do we see this everywhere? The elevation of the Son within Christendom has caused many onlookers to completely destroy any concept of the begotten Son because of the abomination of this co-equal concept of God. Our Muslim friends can read the Bible. They can see that it doesn't say that. Our Jehovah's Witness friends can see that the Bible doesn't say that, but it causes them to react and to push Him down. It's very difficult for our Muslim brethren to deal with the statement where Mohammed said, "Allah has no son." It's hard. I've heard the response to that is, "Well, He had no son through sexual relations" and maybe that's a way to deal with it. But you're put in a difficult situation now. Mohammed is faced with the Trinity. It's an abomination. He reacts to it. That's natural.

The other alternative, of course, is to elevate the Son and to make them *one*. And when you make them *one* in that sense, what happens to the personality of Father and Son? Merged. The second person of the trinity, as reflected in many Christian doctrines, is exactly what Satan desired for himself – to be merged with the Father, that they might be one.

The last battle that we're dealing with deals with men and women. [Pastor Adrian points to 'Father' and 'Son' on the whiteboard and asks, "How are *they* equal?" He points to 'Bible' and 'Spirit of Prophecy' on the whiteboard and asks again, "How are *they* equal?" He points to 'men' and 'women' on the whiteboard and asks, "How are *they* equal?"] There's a lot of energy invested in

dealing with these questions. Because the divine pattern is so fundamental – it is the fundamental fact of life – the implications are so far reaching. This is why I say that to preach the Father and the Son and an understanding of the divine pattern is to speak directly into issues that are rocking this nation.

Marriage equality – do you hear that term? Based on what pattern? Based on co-equality, based on “I will ascend into heaven,” “I will be like the Most High.” The Father and the Son teaching *is* the all-encompassing truth. It touches every aspect of our lives.

Let me show you a few more patterns. (You begin to see it everywhere when you start looking for it.) At the heart of the commandments of God, we have the Fourth Commandment which is to remember your Creator, the Source; then the Fifth Commandment is to honor your parents, who are the channel. It’s God’s power manifest through your parents (I’m speaking in a divine pattern relationship). The Sabbath – the Source; your parents – a channel. [Adrian writes ‘God’ and ‘parents’ on the whiteboard.] What happens when parents seek to merge, to take authority to themselves? Once again, you’re left with a form and that form is pretty toxic. It results in rebellion. That’s what it’s designed to do. Satan elevates the channel in order to destroy the channel, elevates the parents in order to destroy their credibility in the eyes of their children. He raises up tyrants in order to destroy tyrants.

In coming to Seventh-day Adventists, Satan comes to us as a benefactor of the race and he says, “You believe in Jesus, don’t you? You need to protect His divinity. You need to make sure that He is lifted up high. Don’t let anyone take His divinity away from Him.” And so He exalts the Son in order to merge Him with the Father, in order to destroy Father and Son. Elevate the channel: destroy the channel (*and* the source).

What is also interesting is the Sabbath. Can you *see* the Sabbath? No, it’s invisible. Can you see your parents? Yes, the image (visible). There’s the divine pattern. Now what’s interesting is that [Pastor Adrian writes numerals on the whiteboard representing commandments 1-3 and 6-10] – these are all “Thou shalt not”. A ‘not’ is a guardrail – *not this way* – guardrails that lead you to the Source [pointing to the Fourth and Fifth Commandments in the center]. The Ten Commandments are a fountain of life. The Fourth and Fifth Commandments are at the heart of the law of God and *that’s* where the blessing is. Does that make sense? The other things are to protect you from moving *away* from that center. So we have a divine pattern in the Fourth and Fifth Commandments. We have a divine pattern in the Fifth Commandment – mother and father, father and mother. The divine pattern exists there. It’s beautiful to see these patterns everywhere. The seal of the living God must be the Fourth Commandment because this is the source of the fountain of living water.

Another one, while I’m thinking of it:

“...Here *are* they that keep the commandments of God [Source], [by] the faith of Jesus [Channel]” Revelation 14:12.

And this is where I want to get into something interesting. The word 'and' can be (Gary was preaching about this) rendered *by* or *through*. This is where I want to start to speak to you about how a different pattern can begin to affect your thinking. If we have our Father here [He draws a circle representing God] and Lucifer is down here and says, "I will be like the Most High" [Pastor Adrian draws an arrow to a circle beside the Father's circle], if this were true, that there were *two* who were co-equal, then there is automatically, between those two, a natural tension. You hear it in the prayers of people: "Dear Father, thank You for dying on the cross". There's this tension. When there's not a clear channel and there are at least two that you believe to be co-equal, there's a tension in your mind. Is that right?

"Who do I pray to?" There's this tension. "Oh, I don't want to leave out the Father. Oh, I don't want to leave out the Son. Oh, I..." You're caught in this tension. Have you experienced that (those of us who have walked that path)? So this tension exists.

One of my mentors in ministry (and I never questioned it; I never challenged it) talks about truth having two poles, 'the poles of truth'. [He draws two circles and a horizontal line between them.] He says that there is a tension between the two poles and that resolving those two poles that seem to be opposite is a paradox, and when we resolve the paradox, we resolve the tension.

For instance, in the person of Jesus Christ – Jesus, the Son of God, having all the fullness of divinity dwelling in Him is God. [Pastor Adrian writes 'God' above one of the two circles.] Jesus taking upon Himself the nature of man is man. [He writes 'Man' above the other circle.] And so the pattern that this is placed upon, very much within the Advent movement, is that there is this natural tension between God and man. And if we emphasize the Godhood of Christ, then we are moving away and He takes an unfallen nature, and He is moving further and further away from us so you've got to hold that in tension with His humanity. Have you heard anything like this? So we've got to hold these two in tension.

Another example: [Adrian writes 'faith' and 'works' below the two circles.] For many years within Adventism, there was this analogy of the man in a boat rowing the boat. "Faith without works, brother, is dead! You've got to have works." And so you can find yourself placing these tensions over these two thoughts.

Another example, of course, is... [he writes 'man' and 'woman' under the circles]. There's a natural tension. Marriage is about give and take. Now we're coming to the principle of Yin and Yang. In the Eastern world, this merging is... [Pastor Adrian draws the Yin/Yang symbol]. Isn't that Isaiah 14? "I will be like the Most High" with a natural tension that exists between the two, but they are one. Eastern philosophy is Yin/Yang; Western philosophy is Hegelian principle – opposites that create a synthesis. And in Eastern philosophy, this is man and woman [points to Yin/Yang] – co-equal; two that are in tension yet they become one. This is all stemming out of Isaiah 14, a violation of the divine pattern – "I will ascend into heaven; I will be like the Most High; I will be as God" – natural tension that then forms a harmony and a unity.

For those of you who watched the Star Wars series as a young person growing up you had the light and the dark and in the end, they're all together. They're synthesized – two opposites that all love one another in the end.

This principle is everywhere: two opposites that are in tension that form one system. Isn't that the system of *your* government? Isn't that the system of *my* government? We call it the government and the opposition, and they form one government. The Chambers of our house are divided into two: the government sits on one side and the opposition sits on the other side and they debate. They oppose one another in order to form legislation to create a synthesis.

Our Western government systems are based on Hegelian principles which come back to Isaiah 14:12, to Satan's desire to be equal with God. He wanted to co-rule with God. He wanted to create a government where he would have equal say with God and they could thrash out things, and there would be a synthesis in this. Our governments reflect the mind of Satan. I don't want to get deported, but it's the same with *my* government. *All* Western governments operate this philosophy, but Eastern governments use *these* principles of Yin and Yang.

Now, in the divine pattern relationship, we have Jesus, the Son of God, manifesting Himself through human flesh: God manifest in the flesh; Emmanuel; God with us. There is no tension in the relationship between these two things. One expresses the other. Faith *that* works. Faith, the source, manifested through works. There is no tension when you follow the divine pattern. Faith that works by love. And in the principle of man and woman: the seed given to the woman to express children. There is no tension. There *shouldn't* be any tension. Can you see why so many families seem to reflect the tensions within the government? Because their home government is operating on *this* principle [pointing to Yin/Yang symbol] – co-equal – and the synthesis that comes out for many is divorce. There is no reconciliation of these principles. Can you see where this can go and what it affects and what it impacts? All out of a few Bible verses, we see this divine pattern.

I take this a little bit further, just to give you a taste of a few things, and I think this will really help us: the Levitical system of the sanctuary. Was it the source or the channel? Was there a heavenly sanctuary at that time? Yes, read it in the book of Psalms; read it in many places: invisible heavenly sanctuary; visible earthly sanctuary. What did the Jews do? They made the channel the source and what were they left with? Form! That's what happened.

"His commandments are not grievous." There's nothing wrong with the law; it's that when you seek to *merge* the channel with the source, you're left with a form. And because so many of us have ever only experienced form, *any* of the commandments of God become grievous. That's what Protestantism is all about.

The relationship between the Ten Commandments and the Law of Moses – it's a divine pattern relationship. The Ten Commandments dwelt in the ark, invisible to human sight. The law of the Ten Commandments was written in the Law of Moses and then it was expanded with all the statutes

and the judgments; that book was brought out as a *visible* image of the *invisible* law, and the people could see it and they could read it. The Law of Moses was as the Son of God, as the channel. As He sits on the right hand of the Father, so the Law of Moses sits at the right hand of the Ten Commandments. It's a divine pattern relationship. And when we follow the divine pattern, we can release the image from the form alone. I find that *immensely* freeing.

There are so many things in the past where I've read the commands of God, and I've had this thought in my mind, "But Adrian, you don't want to be legalistic. You've got to beware. You're going to leave the righteousness of Jesus if you start doing this." And then David's crying out to me saying, "Oh, how I love Thy law. It is my meditation day and night. I love to do Your will. I love to do what You tell me. I love it!" But it only works with the divine pattern. If you take away the divine pattern, it doesn't work. And this is why, if you will, Catholicism and Protestantism are both going in different directions about dealing with the commandments of God. Rome changed the law; Protestantism just wiped the lot of it out. "Jesus died on the cross and I don't need to do any of those things anymore." It's absurd to think that Jesus died on the cross so I can eat pig. It just doesn't make any sense at all. Is that freedom? No, it's not.

So I recommend to you the divine pattern. It is helping me in so many ways in addressing things within Scripture, how to treat my wife, my responsibilities, dealing with things in the church and looking at the great conflicts in the church over the last 100 years – it's all about this question of whether it's the divine pattern of source and channel or whether it's co-equal. Everything in my mind comes back to those questions because once that pattern is in your mind, you act it out and you're not even thinking about it. You're just *doing* it because the pattern has been stamped into your mind. Whoever receives the mark of the beast (which is a co-equal concept), whether you're doing it with your mind, or whether you're just doing it with your hand and not even thinking about it, that pattern will cause you to bow before the beast. You won't even see it. You won't even understand. You're just doing it because you've continued to behold an image that is not in the divine pattern.

So to 'come out of Babylon' is to come out of a misconception of God and His Son. That's at the heart of it. This is what the Fourth Angel is all about – to proclaim God and His Son, to reprint that divine pattern in the mind in order that we can be free to keep all the commandments of God without form alone. Man shall not live by bread alone (form) but by every word (source). Spirit and truth. It's everywhere.

Can we pray?

Father in heaven, I just thank You for the simplicity of this message. To us, there is one God, of whom are all things. We worship You, Father, as the great Source of all. You alone had immortality and You gave it to Your Son. You brought forth Your Son and You gave Him all the fullness of Your divinity.

And Lord Jesus, we look to You as the Way to the Father. It is in the Spirit of Jesus, the submissive One, that we submit to the Father. It is in the Spirit of Jesus, the obedient One, that we obey the Father, the Spirit of the Obedient One dwelling in the form of our bodies, so that we will obey all the commands of God. "Remember the law of Moses with the statutes and the judgments."

There is a way to receive these things without fear of bondage. And Father, we just pray that You would seal into our minds that divine pattern that we will, as we live, simply live out the truth of the only true God and the Lord Jesus Christ.

I thank You in Jesus' name, Amen.